

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER  
United States Attorney  
YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, California 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2760

Attorneys for Defendant  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOSSAMAN, LLP,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT  
OF HOMELAND SECURITY,  
Defendant.

1:10-cv-1627-OWW-SKO

**HOUSEKEEPING STIPULATION  
AND ORDER**

NOSSAMAN, LLP, plaintiff, and the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
HOMELAND SECURITY, defendant, stipulate as follows, subject to the Court's  
approval as provided for hereon.

1. In light of paragraphs 2 and 3, below, defendant's motion filed October 20,  
2010, and set for hearing November 29, 2010, before Judge Wanger, is resolved and  
therefore is taken off calendar.

2. The plaintiffs other than NOSSAMAN, LLP voluntarily dismissed their claims  
during the pendency of a motion to dismiss for lack of standing. They do not intend to  
bring the claims again. Accordingly, the case caption shall mention only NOSSAMAN,  
LLP as the plaintiff.

3. Defendant waives its objections to the sufficiency of service of process.

1 4. The parties are making efforts to resolve the case voluntarily and wish to  
2 conserve their and the Court's resources.

3 5. To conserve resources, defendant waives any contention that plaintiff must file  
4 an administrative appeal in response to the FOIA final response letter dated October 19,  
5 2010, a copy of which is attached to the filing of October 20, 2010.

6 6. The parties recognize that most FOIA cases are decided on a motion for  
7 summary judgment. Defendant intends to ask for the Court to set a filing date for a  
8 dismissal and summary judgment motion at the Scheduling Conference set for January 26,  
9 2010. Because the complaint is lengthy and preparing an answer at this time is  
10 inconsistent with the parties' wish to conserve resources, defendant's obligation to serve  
11 an answer is extended until the seventh day after the Scheduling Conference.

12 7. For the Court's information (not action on this stipulation), defendant  
13 contemplates asking at the Scheduling Conference to reset the answer date to the date to  
14 file the dismissal and summary judgment motion, as extended by operation of Fed. R.  
15 Civ. P. 12(a)(4). Plaintiff contemplates opposing any request made at the Scheduling  
16 Conference to reset the answer date to the date to file Defendant's dismissal and summary  
17 judgment motion because the admissions and denials made in Defendant's answer are  
18 required for Plaintiff to bring its own dispositive motion in light of Defendant's responses.

19  
20 Dated: October 29, 2010

NOSSAMAN, LLP

21  
22 By: /s/ Paul S Weiland  
23 PAUL S. WEILAND  
ROBERT C. HORTON  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

24 Dated: October 29, 2010

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER  
United States Attorney

25  
26 By: /s/ Y Himel  
27 YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL  
Assistant U. S. Attorney  
Attorneys for Defendant

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated:** November 2, 2010

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE